

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,885	10/30/2003	Dale E. Kamarata	520540.00002	9123	
26707	7590 06/18/2004		EXAM	INER	
QUARLES & BRADY LLP RENAISSANCE ONE TWO NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE			HARTMAN	HARTMANN, GARY S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHOENIX, A	AZ 85004-2391		3671		

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M	
116)

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/696,885	KAMARATA, DALE E.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gary Hartmann	3671		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any ned natent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)

_		4		_
•		•		•
J	ta	ш	ч	3

canteu	patent term adjustment. See 37 GTX 1.704(b).
Status	
2a)∐ T 3)∐ S	his action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is losed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition	n of Claims
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. (claim(s) is/are allowed. (claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. (claim(s) is/are objected to. (claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application	n Papers
10)⊠ Tł A R	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner. ne drawing(s) filed on 30 October 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. spelicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119
a) <u></u> 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). All b)
Attachment(s	s)
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

6) Other: _

Application/Control Number: 10/696,885

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6, 9, 11-13, 17, 19, 22-25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoyle, Jr. (U.S. Patent 2,824,342).

Hoyle discloses a post (Figure 2, for example) having a plurality of wood layers (Figure 5, for example) joined by adhesive.

Regarding the method steps recited in the apparatus claims, note that Hoyle could have been made in this manner.

Glue meets the recitation of a man-made material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 14-16, 18, 20, 21, 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoyle, Jr., as applied above.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,885

Art Unit: 3671

Hoyle does not teach the finger joint, relative wood strengths and pliabilities, or plastic; however, all are well known in wood laminate construction. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a finger joint, the relative strengths and pliabilities, or plastic with Hoyle in order to obtain desired structural characteristics.

Hoyle does not teach removal of the corners; however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have removed the corners in order to, for example, obtain a desired visual appearance of the post.

Hoyle is silent regarding two component adhesive; however, this type of adhesive is very well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a two component adhesive with Hoyle in order to obtain very strong connections between the wood layers.

Regarding claim 20, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the method as claimed in order to obtain the apparatus formed by Hoyle.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,885

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671

gh